

LARVICIDAL ACTIVITY OF THE SYNTHESISED LIGNANS, NEOLIGNANS, AND COUMARIN AGAINST *Crocidolimia binotalis* 2ND INSTAR LARVAE

Siti Fadilah Juhan^{1a}, Siti Mariam Mohd Nor^{2a}*, Mohd Shukri Mat Ali^{3b} and Siti Zulaika Md Nor^{4a}

Abstract: Five compounds comprising of 8-O-4'-neolignan (7), two arylnaphthalene lignans (5, 8), aryldihydrobenzofuran neolignan (4), and lignan (6) were synthesised by enzymatic coupling reaction using horseradish peroxidase (HRP) between vanillin (1) with methyl ferulate (2) or methyl sinapate (3). All of these compounds, as well as previously synthesised palladium-catalysed coupling products of neolignan (9), 8-O-4'-neolignan (10), arylcoumarin (11), and lignan (12), were examined for larvicidal activity against *Crocidolomia binotalis* (*C. binotalis*) 2nd instar larvae. It was revealed that seven out of nine synthesised compounds had a mortality rate of more than 90% after 24 hours of exposure. Neolignan (10) and lignan (6) demonstrated strongest larvicidal activity with $LD_{50} = 2.218 \text{ mg/L}$ and $LD_{50} = 1.678 \text{ mg/L}$, respectively compared to the standard azadirachtin ($LD_{50}=2.818 \text{ mg/L}$). The results showed that the synthesised compounds have a high potential for use in the control of *C. binotalis* larvae and could be used in the development of new and more effective compounds as larvicides.

Keywords: Lignan, neolignan, coumarin, horseradish peroxidase, larvicidal

1. Introduction

Insects pose a significant threat to crops because they can consume plant leaves, roots, and stems hence rendering them to be unfit for consumption, other uses, and potentially damaging the plants. Insecticides are chemicals that are used in crop plantations to control insect and disease infestations. Insecticides are widely available on the market and can be classified in a variety of ways depending on the (i) chemical structure (natural, synthetic, organic, inorganic), (ii) toxicity (extreme, high, moderate, less), (iii) stages in the life cycle (larvicides, pupicides, ovicides, adulticides), (iv) mode of entry (systemic, contact, stomach, fumigant, repellent), and (iv) mode of action (AChE inhibitors, GABA chloride channel blockers, ecdysone receptor agonists, sodium channel modulators, juvenile hormone mimics, inhibitors of chitin biosynthesis, ryanodine receptor modulators, and others) (IRAC Website; CFR Website; Akashe et al., 2018; Yadav & Devi, 2017). Crop protection with insecticides has been extremely beneficial to agriculture, particularly in terms of increasing yield production. However, the development of resistance of plant pathogens to conventional insecticides, as

Authors information:

well as toxic effects and environmental concerns, piqued researchers' interest in developing synthetic or natural in the origin of new insecticides.

Novel insecticides are abundant in higher plants and have been used worldwide (Isman, 2006). Many plant species, particularly those native to the tropics, have the potential to be used as bioinsecticides (Lewis et al., 2016). As they are frequently biodegradable and active against a limited range of species, these insecticides may lead to the development of new classes of safer insect control agents. They can also be suitable materials for use in integrated pest management (Hikal et al., 2017; Hubbard et al., 2014).

Lignans, neolignans, and coumarins are among the plant insecticides that have been widely reported. Lignans and phenolic compounds originating from C_6C_3 precursors function as insect-feeding regulators or insect growth and development regulators. These compounds interact with the insect's endocrine system, which is involved in insect development (Harmatha & Dinan, 2003). Examples of lignans activities include an insecticidal activity of podophyllotoxin against 5th instar larvae of *Pieris rapae* L. (Di et al., 2007) and the 3rd instar larvae of *Mythimna separata* (Xu & Xiao, 2009); antifeedant activity of gomisin J against *Tribolium castaneum* adults (Guo et al., 2020); repellent and feeding deterrent activities of (-)-sesamin against *T. castaneum* adults (Wang et al., 2020); insecticidal activity of haedoxan A

Received: August 25, 2022 Accepted: October 7, 2022 Published: February 28, 2023

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, MALAYSIA. Email: dila8904@gmail.com¹; smariam@upm.edu.my²; ikaamdnor@yahoo.com⁴

^bGenebank and Seed Centre, Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI), 43400 Serdang, Selangor, MALAYSIA. E-mail: mshukri@mardi.gov.my³

^{*}Corresponding Author: smariam@upm.edu.my

against 3rd instar larvae of *M. separata* (Li et al., 2019), and insecticidal activity of phrymarolin B against 4th instar larvae of *M. separata* (Xiao et al., 2013). Examples for neolignans include the growth inhibition activity of licarin A against neonate larvae of *Spodoptera litura* (González-Coloma et al., 1994) and insecticidal activity of honokiol against the 3rd instar larvae of *M. separata* (Yang et al., 2015). Whereas, the example for coumarins activities include acaricidal and growth inhibition activities of osthole ester against *M. separata* and *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Shan et al., 2022), insecticidal activity of psoralen against neonate larvae of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Ayil-Gutiérrez et al., 2015), and insecticidal activity of surangin B against the 3rd instar larvae of *Plutella xylostella* (Issakul et al., 2011).

This study investigated the insecticidal activity of new potential lignans, neolignans, and coumarins against *C. binotalis* larvae. *C. binotalis* was chosen as the target pest because it is a common pest in Malaysia for vegetable crops replacing *P. xylostella*, *S. litura*, and *Hellula undalis* (Lim et al., 1996; Ooi & Kelderman, 1979). Another reason for using *C. binotalis* in this study is because of their ease of rearing and availability. There is a lack of reports on the effect of synthetic compounds on this pest in Malaysia (Hashim & Ibrahim, 2003; Hashim et al., 2002; Ng et al., 2003), as well as in neighbouring countries such as Indonesia (Sastrosiswojo & Setiawati, 1992; Shepard & Schellhorn, 1994) and India (Kannan et al., 2011; Srinivasan & Veeresh, 1986).

Previously, we investigated the palladium-catalysed Heck coupling reaction between a series of methyl cinnamate derivatives and an activated C-I bond of iodovanillin or a nonactivated C-H bond of vanillin (Juhan et al., 2018). Furthermore, the aim of this research is to employ an enzymatic method to perform the coupling reaction of vanillin (1) and methyl ferulate (2) or methyl sinapate (3) and to investigate the larvicidal activity of all coupling products obtained from both chemical and enzymatic coupling methods. In the modified enzymatic coupling reaction, HRP as a catalyst in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and phosphate buffer (pH7.2) was used to synthesise lignan and neolignan derivatives (Wakimoto et al., 2009).

It was discovered that the structures of the compound play an important role in enhancing insecticidal activities such as introducing methoxy group, which is essential for maintaining the activities, at a specific position (Di et al., 2007). The formation of ester derivatives (Xu & Xiao, 2009), the number of methylenedioxy, and the position of hydroxyl groups also demonstrated promising activities (Guo et al., 2020; Kirst, 2010). The presence of different functional groups in their structures such as hydroxyl, methoxy, and ester led to the selection of vanillin, iodovanillin, and all methyl cinnamate derivatives for our study. These three functional groups were found in the structures of commercially available insecticides such as azadirachtin (Lin et al., 2021), organophosphates (Rathnayake & Northrup, 2016; Roger et al., 1969), and carbamates (Metcalf, 1971) that contributed to their activities. Based on these considerations, it was hypothesised that the products of these coupling reactions would have comparable or better insecticidal activity.

2. Experimental

2.1 General

All commercially available solvents and chemicals were directly used without further purification. Precoated aluminium backed plates of thin layer chromatography (TLC) (Silica gel 60 F245, Merck KGaA) were used to monitor all reactions. TLC plates were visualised using UV Lamp UVGL-58 and stained with basic KMnO₄ solution. Silica gel 60 (100-150 mesh) was used for purification using column chromatography. The organic layers were dried over sodium sulphate and concentrated using a Buchi Switzerland rotavapor R-215. IR spectra were recorded using the Perkin-Elmer FT-IR Model Spectrum 100 series. The NMR spectra were recorded at 500 MHz on a JEOL JNM-ECX500 using CDCl₃ as the solvent. Chemical shifts were measured in ppm in relation to the TMS signal. Melting points were measured three times for each compound using a digital Electrothermal IA9000 Series. EIMS spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu QP5050A and HRMS spectra were obtained using an AGILENT 6550 iFunnel Q-TOF.

2.2 Coupling Reactions

2.2.1 General Procedure

Vanillin (1) (1.0 mmol) and methyl ferulate (2) or methyl sinapate (3) (1.0 mmol) were added into phosphate buffer (pH 7.2, 23 mL) and stirred for 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was further treated with HRP (0.4 mg). 1 M of H_2O_2 (1.8 mL) was added slowly into the mixture within 30 minutes and the mixture was continuously stirred for 3 days at room temperature. The reaction was terminated with ethyl acetate (AcOEt) (10 mL) and subsequently washed with 1 M of HCl (3×10 mL) and saturated brine solution (3×30 mL) before being dried, evaporated, and purified (Wakimoto et al., 2009).

Methyl 5-((*E*)-2-(methoxycarbonyl)vinyl)-2,3-dihydro-2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-7-methoxybenzofuran-3carboxylate (**4**): R_f 0.25 (hexane:AcOEt, 3:2); white solid; yield, 20%; mp 143-144°C (lit, 151-152°C) (Isman, 1993); v_{max} (UATR, cm⁻¹) 3381, 2953, 1725, 1631, 1604, 1436, 1158, 1137; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) 7.63 (d, 1H, *J* 16.0 Hz, *CH*=C), 7.17 (s, 1H, *H*-Ar), 7.01 (s, 1H, *H*-Ar), 6.90 (d, *J* 8.0 Hz, 1H, *H*- Ar), 6.89 (s, 1H, *H*-Ar), 6.88 (d, *J* 8.0 Hz, 1H, *H*-Ar), 6.30 (d, *J* 16.0 Hz, 1H, *CH*=C), 6.09 (d, *J* 8.0 Hz, 1H, *CH*-C), 5.70 (br. s, 1H, *OH*), 4.33 (d, *J* 8.0 Hz, 1H, *CH*-C), 3.90 (s, 3H, *CH*₃), 3.86 (s, 3H, *CH*₃), 3.82 (s, 3H, *CH*₃), 3.79 (s, 3H, *CH*₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (125 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) 170.7, 167.6, 150.0, 146.7, 146.1, 145.5, 144.7, 131.4, 128.6, 125.7, 119.4, 117.9, 115.6, 114.5, 112.2, 108.7, 87.5, 56.1, 56.0, 55.5, 52.8, 51.6; *m/z* (HRMS) 414.1321 [M]⁺ (Calcd for C₂₂H₂₂O₈: 414.1315), 437.1215 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd for C₂₂H₂₂O₈Na: 437.1212).

Dimethyl-1,2-dihydro-6-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxy-3methoxyphenyl)-7-methoxynaphthalene-2,3-dicarboxylate (**5**): R_f 0.30 (hexane:AcOEt, 3:2); brown solid; yield, 3%; mp 136-138°C; v_{max} (UATR, cm⁻¹) 3405, 2944, 1703, 1509, 1215; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) 7.64 (s, 1H, CH=C), 6.82 (s, 1H, H-Ar), 6.70 (d, *J* 8.0 Hz, 1H, *H*-Ar), 6.68 (s, 1H, *H*-Ar), 6.60 (d, *J* 2.3 Hz, 1H, *H*-Ar), 6.39 (dd, *J* 2.3, 8.0 Hz, 1H, *H*-Ar), 4.53 (d, *J* 2.3 Hz, 1H, *CH*-C), 3.96 (d, *J* 3.4 Hz, 1H, CH-C), 3.89 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.77 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.73 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.61 (s, 3H, CH₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (125 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) 172.9, 167.1, 147.7, 146.4, 145.8, 144.4, 137.7, 134.3, 131.2, 123.9, 122.4, 120.4, 115.6, 114.2, 112.2, 110.1, 56.0, 55.8, 52.4, 51.9, 47.2, 45.6; *m/z* (HRMS) 414.1315 [M]⁺ (Calcd for C₂₂H₂₂O₈Na: 437.1212).

(*Z*)-Methyl 2-(4-formyl-2-methoxyphenoxy)-3-(4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl) acrylate (**7**): R_f 0.43 (hexane:AcOEt, 3:2); white solid; yield, 29%; mp 69-70°C; v_{max} (UATR, cm⁻¹) 3404, 2928, 1702, 1512, 1260; δ_H (500 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) 9.82 (s, 1H, CHO), 7.49 (s, 1H, *H*-Ar), 7.38 (s, 1H, CH=C), 7.32 (d, *J* 8.0 Hz, 1H, *H*-Ar), 6.97 (s, 2H, 2×*H*-Ar), 6.85 (d, *J* 8.0 Hz, 1H, *H*-Ar), 5.77 (br. s, 1H, OH), 3.98 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.77 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.76 (s, 6H, 2×CH₃); δ_C (125 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) 190.7, 163.6, 150.9, 149.4, 146.9, 137.1, 136.9, 131.7, 128.9, 126.3, 123.1, 113.1, 110.2, 107.6, 56.1, 56.0, 52.6; *m/z* (HRMS) 388.1120 [M]⁺ (Calcd for C₂₀H₂₀O₈Na: 411.1056).

Dimethyl-1,2-dihydro-7-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxy-3,5dimethoxyphenyl)-6,8-dimethoxynaphthalene-2,3dicarboxylate (**8**): R_f 0.22 (hexane:AcOEt, 2:3); yellow solid; yield, 14%; mp 181-183°C; v_{max} (UATR, cm⁻¹) 3437, 2949, 1701, 1600, 1447, 1189, 1096; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) 7.62 (s, 1H, CH=C), 6.68 (s, 1H, H-Ar), 6.25 (s, 2H, 2×H-Ar), 5.81 (br. s, 1H, OH), 5.36 (br. s, 1H, OH), 4.97 (s, 1H, CH-C), 3.99 (s, 1H, CH-C), 3.90 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.73 (s, 9H, $3 \times CH_3$), 3.62 (s, 6H, $2 \times CH_3$); δ_C (125 MHz, CDCl₃, ppm) 172.4, 167.0, 146.8, 144.9, 140.9, 137.6, 133.5, 123.8, 123.4, 122.8, 107.3, 104.3, 60.6, 56.3, 52.5, 51.9, 46.5, 39.4; *m/z* (HRMS) 474.1548 [M]⁺ (Calcd for C₂₄H₂₆O₁₀: 474.1526), 497.1442 [M+Na]⁺ (Calcd for C₂₄H₂₆O₁₀Na: 497.1424).

2.3 Bioassay

C. binotalis larvae (cabbage head caterpillar) that are used in this research were collected from a laboratory colony reared on cabbage plants or using an artificial diet from the Genebank and Seed Centre, Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI), Serdang.

The larvicidal activity of the synthesised compounds was assessed with a slight modification using a conventional leaf disc method (Wu et al., 2016). Leaf discs (150 mm in diameter) were trimmed with a cork borer from clean organic cabbage leaves and kept in a moist chamber. The solution of the synthesised compounds and azadirachtin in acetone was prepared at 100 ppm for screening. The leaf discs were immersed in acetone alone or in an acetone solution containing the compounds for 5 seconds before being air dried at room temperature. Ten 2nd instar larvae of C. binotalis of the same size (2-3 hours pre-starved) and five treated leaf discs were positioned into the test container and covered with a moistened towel. One container was considered a single replicate and the experiment was conducted with three replicates of each compound (29-32 larvae). The untreated leaves were used as a negative control and the leave treated with azadirachtin was used as the positive control. The number of larvae that died at 24 hours was recorded and the values of corrected mortality (CM) were determined as follows: CM rate (%) = (T $_{-}$ C) × 100/(100% - C), where C is the mortality rate of the negative control and T is the mortality rate of the treated C. binotalis (Ren et al., 2020). When larvae did not react to the stimulation or did not move after being touched, they were considered dead. All the screened compounds with less than a 50% of mortality rate are considered not active and will not be further subjected to LD_{50} (lethal dose causes 50%mortality) determination.

The toxicity of the active compounds was tested in acetone at up to five different concentrations ranging from 0 to 15 ppm. The LD₅₀, 95% confidence limits (CLs), the slope of the concentration-mortality curve, and the standard error of the slope were calculated using Polo Plus software by probit analysis. The differences between LD₅₀ values were considered significant if 95% of the CLs did not overlap.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Enzymatic Coupling Reaction

The reaction applied for the vanillin (1) and methyl cinnamate derivatives (2) and (3) was adapted from Wakimoto et al. (2009). First, both compounds (2) and (3) were prepared from their respective acids via common Fischer esterification (Juhan et al., 2018). The reaction of vanillin (1) and methyl ferulate (2) with HRP yielded compounds (4) and (5), whereas the reaction with methyl sinapate (3) produced compounds (6-8). All compounds were obtained through the homocoupling of methyl ferulate (2) or

methyl sinapate (**3**) except for compound (**7**) whereby this compound was obtained through heterocoupling of vanillin (**1**) and methyl sinapate (**3**) (Scheme 1). This finding demonstrated that the enzymatic reaction preferred the formation of dihydrobenzofuran neolignan (**4**) and arylnaphthalene type of lignans (**5**, **8**). However, these reactions contributed to a low yield (3-29%) with a high recovery of starting material even though the reaction time was increased up to three days. Spectroscopic data of lignan (**6**) and 8-O-4' neolignan (**7**) were reported in our previous study (Juhan et al., 2018) while the others were discussed in this paper and compared with data from the literature.



Scheme 1. The enzymatic coupling reaction between vanillin (1) with methyl ferulate (2) or methyl sinapate (3). Reagents and conditions: i) HRP, 1 M of H₂O₂, phosphate buffer pH7.2, RT, 3d. All of the synthesised compounds were separated using column chromatography. The same lignan (6) and neolignan (7) were also produced in the previous coupling reaction with a palladium catalyst (Figure 1).

All synthesised compounds in this work (**4-8**) and all compounds synthesised earlier (**9-12**) using palladiumcatalysed coupling reaction (Juhan et al., 2018) (Figure 1) were further studied for their larvicidal activity. To the best of our knowledge, no research on the larvicidal activity of these synthesised compounds (**4-12**) against *C. binotalis* has been reported.

Neolignan (4) and lignan (5) were obtained both as white and brown solids with a melting point of 145-144°C and 136-

138°C, respectively. The IR spectrum for both compounds showed the presence of hydroxyl groups with a broad band at 3381 cm⁻¹ and 3405 cm⁻¹, whereas the band for carbonyl of esters appeared at 1725 cm⁻¹ and 1703 cm⁻¹, respectively. Both neolignan (**4**) and lignan (**5**) shared the same molecular formula of $C_{22}H_{22}O_8$, which their HRMS analyses gave m/z 414.1324 [M]⁺ and m/z 414.1315 [M]⁺ (Calculated for $C_{22}H_{22}O_8$ requires 414.1315).



Figure 1. Neolignans (7, 9-10), coumarin (11), and lignans (6, 12) were synthesised via a palladium-catalysed coupling reaction of vanillin (1) with methyl ferulate (2) or methyl sinapate (3) (Juhan et al., 2018).

In both ¹H NMR spectra, two singlets of methoxy protons and two singlets of methyl protons of ester appeared at δ 3.90, 3.86, 3.82, and 3.79 ppm for compound (4), whereas at δ 3.89, 3.77, 3.73, and 3.61 ppm for compound (5). Two sets of a doublet in (4) appeared at δ 4.33 ppm and 6.09 ppm corresponding to H3 and H2, and another two sets (J=16 Hz) were presented at δ 6.30 ppm and 7.63 ppm referring to the two trans protons of H2" and H1". Two doublets of H1 and H2 in (5) were observed at δ 4.53 ppm and 3.96 ppm. It was confirmed that compound (5) was bonded and cyclised through the alkene functional group by the signal of only one proton observed at δ 7.64 ppm (s) representing H4. Besides that, compound (5) showed two broad peaks at δ 5.51 ppm and 5.84 ppm representing hydroxyl groups attached to a benzene ring at C7 and C4' while only one OH peak appeared at δ 5.70 ppm for compound (4).

The ¹³C NMR supports the structure of the compounds by showing two carbons in the downfield region at δ 170.7 ppm and 167.6 ppm for neolignan (**4**) and δ 172.9 ppm and 167.1 ppm for lignan (**5**) referring to the two carbonyl esters in each compound. The formation of dyhdrobenzofuran ring was established by the presence of signals at δ 87.5 ppm and 56.0 ppm for C2 and C3, respectively. In contrast, the naphthalene type of lignan (**5**) was represented by the peaks observed at δ 137.7 ppm (C4), 47.2 ppm (C2), and 45.6 ppm (C1). All NMR data of compound (**4**) agree with the data from Moussouni et al. (2011).

The HMBC analysis of compound (4) also supports the proposed structure by showing correlations between H2 with C3, C1', C2', and C6'. On the other hand, there were also correlations between H3 with C2, C3a, and C1'. The HMBC

analysis of compound **5** showed correlations between H1 with C2, 2-COOCH₃, C3, C4a, C8, C1', and C6'. Other correlations between H2 with C1, 2-COOCH₃, C3, 3-COOCH₃, C4a, and C1' were also observed (Figure 2).

Lignan (8) with a melting point of $181-183^{\circ}$ C was obtained as a yellow solid formed by the free radical coupling mechanism. This compound was isolated previously from the ethanol extract of rapeseed (*Brassica napus L.*) and is known as dimethyl (±)-thomasidioate by Fang et al. (2012). The IR analysis for compound (8) revealed a broad band at 3437 cm⁻¹ referring to a hydroxyl group while the presence of a carbonyl aldehyde absorption was observed at 1701 cm⁻¹. Compound (8) has a molecular formula of C₂₄H₂₆O₁₀ as indicated by analysis of its HRMS with *m/z* 474.1548 [M]⁺ (calculated for C₂₄H₂₆O₁₀ requires 474.1526). Therefore, compound (8) is thus confirmed to be a combination of two methyl sinapate (3) units.

The integration of the ¹HNMR spectrum presented one proton at δ 6.68 ppm belonging to H5 for the first unit of methyl sinapate (**3**). Two protons appeared as singlets (δ 6.25 ppm) attributed to the symmetrical H2' and H6' in the second unit of methyl sinapate (**3**) suggesting bonds between C8a and C1. An olefinic proton (H4) was observed at δ 7.62 ppm while another two protons assigned to H2 and H1 appeared at δ 3.99 ppm and 4.97 ppm, respectively. In support of the assignments, ¹³C NMR analysis depicted two carbon signals at δ 39.4 ppm and 46.5 ppm corresponding to C1 and C2, respectively. Two carbonyl esters are seen at δ 172.4 ppm and 167.0 ppm. NMR data of this compound agreed with the previously reported data (Fang et al., 2012).



Figure 2. HMBC correlations of compounds 4-5 and 8.

The HMBC measurement of (8) exhibited correlations between H1 with C2, 2-COOCH₃, C3, C8, C8a, C1', C2', and C6' thus rationalised the position of C1 to the ring. The correlations between H2 with C1, C1', 2-COOCH₃, C3, C4, and C8a, and H4 with C2, 3-COOCH₃, C5, and C8a supported the proposed structure. Besides that, the benzene protons of H2' and H6' showed a correlation with C3', C5', C1, and C2 meanwhile the benzene proton of H5 was correlated with C4, C4a, C6, and C7 (Figure 2). With all these findings, it can be deduced that the bond between the two units of methyl sinapate (**3**) forms a naphthalene type of lignan.

3.2 Larvicidal Activity

At a concentration of 100 ppm, 5,5-neolignan (9), 8-O-4'neolignan (10), coumarin (11), lignans (6, 12), and dihydrobenzofuran neolignan (4) demonstrated strong activity with a mortality rate of more than 90% (Table 1). It is worth noting that the presence of two ester groups in the structures is important for larvicidal activity. This hypothesis was supported by comparisons with commercial insecticides azadirachtin and toosendanin, both of which have three and two ester groups, respectively. Among the larva species tested with these two standards are Actebia fennica, Mamestra configurata, Malanchra picta, S. litura (Isman, 1993), and S. frugiperda (Céspedes et al., 2015). Other commercial insecticides with ester groups, such as organophosphates (malathion and diazinon) and carbamates (methomyl), are used to control the fall armyworm, S. frugiperda (Yu, 1992) and the addition of an ester group to podophyllotoxin against the oriental armyworm, M. separata (Xu & Xiao, 2009) also proved this finding.

Table 1. Larvicidal screening results of synthesisedcompounds (4-12) and against the 2nd instar larvae of C.binotalis at a concentration of 100 ppm for 24 hours ofexposure.

Compound	Corrected Mortality Rate (%) ^a		
Acetone ^b	0.00		
Azadirachtin ^c	100.00 ± 0.00		
9	96.66 ± 5.77		
10	93.10 ± 0.00		
11	100.00 ± 0.52		
12	90.30 ± 5.53		
4	93.33 ± 5.77		
5	0.00		
6	96.77 ± 5.25		
7	50.06 ± 3.23		
8	51.72 ± 5.77		

^a Data are expressed as means \pm SE from experiments with three replicates. Corrected as Xu et al., 2020 (Ren et al., 2020). ^b Negative control. ^c Positive control.

Compound (10) was observed to have good activity compared to moderate activity seen in compound (7) with mortality rates of 93.10% and 50.06%, respectively. The structural differences between these two neolignans demonstrated that the presence of extra ester groups in compound (10) made it more active in terms of larvicidal activity. Other findings revealed that uncyclized lignans (6, 12) were more active with mortality rates of 90.3% and 96.77%, respectively compared to the cyclised lignans (5, 8) with mortality rates of 0.00% and 51.72% (Table 1). It was thought that the presence of more double bonds in the uncyclised lignans (6, 12) resulted in increased larval mortality.

When similar structures of lignans as seen in compounds (5) and (8) were compared, it was discovered that compound (8) has higher larvicidal activity than compound (5) due to the extra methoxy groups (Table 1). The presence of a methoxy group has been reported to increase podophyllotoxin's insecticidal activity against P. rapae L. 5th instar larvae (Di et al., 2007). It was also discovered that the presence of two methoxy groups in methyleugenol increased its activity against S. litura (Bhardwaj et al., 2010). Coumarin (11), like azadirachtin, demonstrated the highest activity with 100% larvae mortality. This finding indicated that the presence of a hydroxyl group in the structure could increase bioactivity. Previous research found that coumarin derivatives with hydroxyl groups had the most potent insecticidal activity against Musca domestica adults and Aedes albpictus 4th instar larvae (Wang et al., 2011).

Table 2. Toxicity of compounds (4), (6), and (9-12) against the 2nd instar larvae of C. bin	otalis.
---	---------

Compound	Total insect used	Slope ± SE	LD ₅₀ (mg/L) (95% CL) ^a	TRb
Azadirachtin	197	1.728 ±0.460	2.818 (1.213-4.086)	1.7
9	182	3.288 ± 0.584	3.537 (2.823-4.193)	2.1
10	181	2.080 ± 0.542	2.218 (1.088-2.988)	1.3
11	182	5.326 ± 0.906	4.269 (2.488-5.515)	2.5
12	182	3.097 ± 0.766	4.673 (3.786-6.166)	2.8
4	152	4.499 ± 1.559	9.849 (8.297-15.144)	5.9
6	150	2.107 ± 0.520	1.678 (0.968-2.335)	1

^a Where 95% of CLs do not overlap, LC_{50} values differ significantly. ^b Toxicity ratio (TR) = LD_{50} of a compound / LD_{50} of compound (6).

All compounds with high mortality rates were subjected to LD_{50} determination (Table 2). The results showed that all compounds were toxic to *C. binotalis* larvae. The most active compounds were 8-O-4'-neolignan (**10**) and lignan (**6**) with LD_{50} values of 2.218 mg/L and 1.678 mg/L, respectively. These values are lower than the commercial standard azadirachtin ($LD_{50} = 2.818$ mg/L). Compound (**6**) outperformed azadirachtin in terms of larvicidal activity by 1.6 times. In comparison, compound (**10**) has one double bond and one oxygen atom, whereas compound (**6**) has two double bonds between two phenyl groups to form a continuous long-conjugated double bond. These distinct properties, combined with the presence of methoxy (Di et al., 2007), ester (Che et al., 2013), and hydroxyl (Gua et al., 2022) groups in the structures enhanced their larvicidal activity. When compared to the standard, compounds (9), (11), and (12) showed significant toxic effects with LD_{50} values of 3.537 mg/L, 4.269 mg/L and 4.673 mg/L, respectively (Table 2). Compound (4) was found to be the least toxic among the studied compounds due to the separated conjugated system by the formation of the dihydrofuran ring. The presence of a methyl cinnamate fragment attached to a dihydrofuran ring likely contributed to its low toxicity as reported for methyl 4methoxycinnamate against *S. litura* (Bhardwaj et al., 2010).

4. Conclusion

Five coupling products, 8-O-4'-neolignan (7), two arylnaphthalene lignans (5, 8), aryldihydrobenzofuran neolignan (4), and lignan (6) were synthesised via enzymatic coupling reactions. In comparison, all synthesised compounds and four chemical-catalysed coupling products (9-12) were screened against *C. binotalis* larvae in which seven compounds were active and proceeded with LD_{50} identification. 8-O-4'-Neolignan (10) and lignan (6) showed the strongest larvicidal activities with LD_{50} lower than the standard azadirachtin. Both compounds may be effective to conventional synthetic insecticides in the pest control of *C. binotalis*.

5. Acknowledgement

We are grateful for the research facilities provided by the Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, and the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI). The authors also sincerely thank the FRGS (Vote No. 5524651) and the Geran Putra (Vote No. 9666700) for the research funding.

6. References

- Akashe, M. M., Pawade, U. V., & Nikam, A. V. (2018). Classification of pesticides: A review. Int. J. Res. Ayurveda Pharm., 9(4), 144-150.
- Ayil-Gutiérrez, B. A., Villegas-Mendoza, J. M., Santes-Hernández,
 Z., Paz-González, A. D., Mireles-Martínez, M., Rosas-García, N. M., & Rivera, G. (2015). Ruta graveolens extracts and metabolites against *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Nat. Prod. Comm.*, 10, 1955-1958.
- Bhardwaj, A., Tewary, D. K., Kumar, R., Kumar, V., Sinha, A. K., & Shanker, A. (2010). Larvicidal and structure-activity studies of natural phenylpropanoids and their semisynthetic derivatives against the tobacco armyworm *Spodoptera litura* (F_{AB}.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Chemistry & Biodiversity, 7*(1), 168-177.

- Céspedes, C. L., Martinez-vázquez, M., Calderón, J. S., Salazar, J. R., & Eduardo, A. (2015). Insect growth regulatory activity of some extracts and compounds from *Parthenium* argentatum on fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *A Journal of Biosciences*, *56*, 95-105.CFR (Code of Federal Regulations). Title 40 CFR Part 156 - Labeling Requirements for Pesticides and Devices. Retrieved from https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40/chapter-I/subchapter-E/part-156. Accessed 14 July 2022.
 - Che, Z., Yu, X., Zhi, X., Fan, L., Yao, X., & Xu, H. (2013). Synthesis of novel 4α-(acyloxy)-2'(2',6')-(di)halogenopodophyllotoxin derivatives as insecticidal agents. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 61(34), 8148-8155.
 - Di, X., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Yu, X., Xiao, H., Tian, X., & Gao. R. (2007). Synthesis and insecticidal activities of pyridine ring derivatives of podophyllotoxin. *Pesticide Biochemistry* and Physiology, 89, 81-87.
 - Fang, J., Reichelt, M., Kai, M., & Schneider, B. (2012). Metabolic profiling of lignans and other secondary metabolites from rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). J. Agric. Food Chem., 60, 10523–10529.
 - González-Coloma, A., Escoubas, P., Mizutani, J., & Lajide, L. (1994). Insect growth inhibitors from *Machilus japonica*. *Phytochemistry*, *35*(3), 607-610.
 - Guo, S-S., Pang, X., Wang, Y., Geng, Z-F., Cao, J-Q., Liang, J-Y., Deng, Z-W., & Du, S-S. (2020). Chemical constituents isolated from stems of *Schisandra chinensis* and their antifeedant activity against *Tribolium castaneum*. *Natural Product Research*, 34(18), 2595-2601.
 - Harmatha, J., & Dinan, L. (2003). Biological activities of lignans and stilbenoids associated with plant-insect chemical interaction. *Phytochemistry Reviews 2*, 321-330.
 - Hashim, N., & Ibrahim, Y. B. (2003). Efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi, *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus, Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* var. majus against *Crocidolomia binotalis* (Lepidoptera; Pyralidae). *Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci., 26*, 103-108.
 - Hashim, N., Ibrahim, Y. B., & Tan, Y. H. (2002). Electron microscopy of entomopathogenic fungal invasion on the cabbageheart caterpillar *Crocidolomia binotalis* Zeller (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Asean J Sci Technol Dev, 19*, 111-125.
 - Hikal, W. M., Baeshen, R. S., & Said-Al Ahl, H. A.
 H. (2017). Botanical insecticide as simple extractives for pest control. *Cogent Biology*, 3(1), 1-16.

- Hubbard, M., Hynes, R. K., Erlandson, M., & Bailey, K. L. (2014). The biochemistry behind biopesticide efficacy. *Sustain. Chem. Process, 2*(18), 1-8.
- IRAC (Insecticide Resistance Action Committee). The IRAC Mode of Action Classification. Retrieved from https://iraconline.org/mode-of-action. Accessed 14 July 2022.
- Isman, M. B. (1993). Growth inhibitory and antifeedant effects of azadirachtin on six noctuids of regional economic importance. *J. Pestic. Sci.*, *38*, 57-63.
- Isman, M. B. (2006). Botanical insecticides, deterrents and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasing regulated world. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 51(1), 45-66.
- Issakul, K., Jatisatienr, A., Pawelzik, E., & Jatisatienr, C. (2011). Potential of *Mammea siamensis* as a botanical insecticide: Its efficiency on diamondback moth and side effects on non-target organisms. *J. Med. Plant. Res.*, 5, 2149-2156.
- Juhan, S. F., Mohd Nor, S. M., Kassim, N. K., Mohd Faudzi, S. M., & Mat Ali, M. S. (2018). One-step synthesis of new derivatives of 4-arylcoumarins and neolignans. *Chemistry Research Journal*, 3(4), 85-97.
- Kannan, M., Vijayaraghavan, C., Jayaprakash, S. A., & Uthamsamy, S. (2011). Studies on the biology and toxicity of newer insecticide molecules on cabbagehead caterpillar, *Crocidolomia binotalis* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) in India. *The 6th International Workshop on Management of the Diamondback Moth and Other Crucifer Insect Pests*, 31-37.
- Kilani, M. S., Morakchi, G. H., & Sifi, K. (2021). Azadirachtin-based insecticide: Overview, risk assessments, and future directions. *Front. Agron.*, 3(32), 1-13.
- Kirst, H. (2010). The spinosyn family of insecticides: realizing the potential of natural products research. J Antibiot, 63, 101–111.
- Lewis, S. E., Silburn, D. M., Kookana, R. S., & Shaw, M. (2016). Pesticide behavior, fate, and effects in the Tropics: An overview of the current state of knowledge. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 64*(20), 3917-3924.
- Li, Y., Wei, J., Fang, J., Lv, W., Ji, Y., Aioub, A. A., Zhang, J., & Hu, Z. (2019). Insecticidal activity of four lignans isolated from *Phryma leptostachya*. *Molecules*, *24*, 1976.

- Lim, G. S., Sivapragasam, A., & Loke, W. H. (1996). Crucifer insect pest problems: trends, issues and management strategies. Proceedings: *The Management of Diamondback Moth and Other Crucifer Pests*, 3-16.
- Lin, M., Yang, S., Huang, J., & Zhou, L. (2021). Insecticidal triterpenes in Meliaceae: Plant species, molecules and activities: Part I (*Aphanamixis-Chukrasia*). Int J Mol Sci., 22(24), 1-33.
- Metcalf, R. L. (1971). Structure-activity relationships for insecticidal carbamates. *Bull World Health Organ.*, 44(1-3), 43-78.
- Moussouni, S., Saru, M. L., Ioannou, E., Mansour, M., Detsi, A., Roussis, V., & Kefalas, P. (2011). Crude peroxidase from onion solid waste as a tool for organic synthesis. Part II: Oxidative dimerization-cyclization of methyl *p*coumarate, methyl caffeate and methyl ferulate. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, *52*, 1165-1168.
- Ng, L. T., Yuen, P. M., Loke, W. H., & Azizol, A. K. (2003). Effects of *Azadirachta excelsa* on feeding behavoiur, body weight and mortality of *Crocidolomia binotalis* (Zeller) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). J Sci Food Agric, 83, 1327-1330.
- Ooi, P. A. C., & Kelderman, W. (1979). The biology of three common pests of cabbages in Cameron Highland, Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Agriculture*, 52, 85-101.
- Rathnayake, L. K., & Northrup, S. H. (2016). Structure and mode of action of organophosphate pesticides: A computational study. *Computational and Theoretical Chemistry*, 1088, 9-23.
- Ren, Z., Lv, M., Li, T., Hao, M., Li, S., & Xu, H. (2020). Construction of oxime ester derivatives of osthole from *Cnidium monnieri*, and evaluation of their agricultural activities and control efficiency. *Pest Manag. Sci.*, 76, 3560-3567.
- Roger, J. C., Upshall, D. G., & Casida, J. E. (1969). Structure-activity and metabolism studies on organophosphate teratogens and their alleviating agents in developing hen eggs with special emphasis on bidrin. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 18(2), 373-392.
- Sastrosiswojo, S., & Setiawati, W. (1992). Biology and control of Crocidolomia binotalis in Indonesia. Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center, Taipei, 81-87.
- Shan, X., Lv, M., Wang, J., Qin, Y., & Xu, H. (2022). Acaricidal and insecticidal efficacy of new esters derivatives of a natural coumarin osthole. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 182, 114855.

- Shepard, B. M., & Schellhorn, N. A. (1994). A Plutella/Crocidolomia management program for cabbage in Indonesia. Proceedings: The Management of Diamondback Moth and Other Crucifer Pests, 262-266.
- Srinivasan, K., & Veeresh, G. K. (1986). The development and comparison of visual damage thresholds for the chemical control of *Plutella xylostella* and *Crocidolomia binotalis* on cabbage in India. *International Journal of Tropical Insect Science*, 7, 547-557.
- Wakimoto, T., Nitta, M., Kasahara, K., Chiba, T., Ye, Y., Tsuji, K., Kan. T., Nukaya, H., Ishiguro. M., Koike, M., Yokoo, Y., & Suwa, Y. (2009). Structure-activity relationship study on α_1 -adrenergic receptor antagonists from beer. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 19,* 5905-5908.
- Wang, X., Wei, X., Huang, X., Shen, L., Tian, Y., & Xu, H. (2011). Insecticidal constructure and bioactivities of compounds from *Ficus sarmentosa* var. henryi. *Agricultural Sciences in China*, *10*(9), 1402–1409.
- Wang, Y., Zhang, L-T., Zhang, D., Guo, S-S., Xi, C., & Du, S-S. (2020). Repellent and feeding deterrent activities of butanolides and lignans isolated from *Cinnamomum camphora* against *Tribolium castaneum*. *Journal of Chemistry*, 1-7.
- Wu, H., Wu, H., Wang, W., Liu, T., Qi, M., Feng, J., Li, X., & Liu, Y. (2016). Insecticidal activity of sesquiterpene lactones and monoterpenoid from the fruits of *Carpesium abrotanoides*. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *92*, 77-83.

- Xiao, X. M., Ji, Z. Q., Zhang, J. W., Shi, B. J., Wei, S. P., & Wu, W. J. (2013). A new lignan from *Phryma leptostachya*. *Chem. Nat. Cmpd.*, 49, 21-23.
- Xu, H., & Xiao. X. (2009). Natural products-based insecticidal agents 4. Semisynthesis and insecticidal activity of novel esters of 2-chloropodophyllotoxin against *Mythimna* separata Walker in vivo. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 21, 5177-5180.
- Yadav, I. C., & Devi, N. L. (2017). Pesticides classification and its impact on human and environment. *Environment Science & Engineering: Vol. 6 Toxicology* (pp.140-158). Studium Press LLC, USA.
- Yang, C., Zhi, X., & Xu, H. (2015). Synthesis of benzoxazole derivatives of honokiol as insecticidal agents against Mythimna separata Walker. Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 25, 2217-2219.
- Yu. S. J. (1992). Detection and biochemical characterization of insecticide resistance in fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Entomological Society of America*, 85(3), 675-682.